CSC3100 Data Structures Tutorial 3

Lai Wei (USTF, SDS, 120090485)

laiwei1@link.cuhk.edu.cn

https://github.com/I-am-Future

https://i-am-future.github.io/

Contents

- Asymptotic Analysis (Prof. Fang's lec 5, Prof. Yu's lec 4)
 - Concepts
 - Practice Problems
- Complexity for recursion and divide-and-conquer (Prof. Fang's lec 6, Prof. Yu's lec 5)
 - Concepts
 - Practice Problems
- Coding Questions
- Q & A

1. Asymptotic Analysis-Concepts

- Evaluate the "efficiency" of an algorithm.
- Commonly used notations:
 - Big-Oh notation: measure the upper bound complexity.
 - Big-Omega notation: measure the lower bound complexity.
 - Big-Theta notation: just there! Upper & lower bounds meet!

Upper & lower bound? (1)

- For some algorithm f(n), assume we can prove the greens:
- O(1) O(log n) O(n) O(n·log n) O(n²) O(n³) O(2ⁿ)

~~~~~

(minimum upper bound)

•  $\Omega(1) \ \Omega(\log n) \ \Omega(n) \ \Omega(n \cdot \log n) \ \Omega(n^2) \ \Omega(n^3) \ \Omega(2^n)$ 

~~~~~

(maximum lower bound)

- The minimum upper bound and maximum lower bound meet
- => f(n) is $\Theta(n \cdot \log n)$

Upper & lower bound? (2)

- For some algorithm f(n), assume we can prove the greens:
- O(1) O(log n) O(n) O(n·log n) O(n²) O(n³) O(2ⁿ)

 $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$

(minimum upper bound)

• $\Omega(1) \Omega(\log n) \Omega(n) \Omega(n \cdot \log n) \Omega(n^2) \Omega(n^3) \Omega(2^n)$

(maximum lower bound)

- The minimum upper bound and maximum lower bound don't meet
- => we cannot conclude f(n) is $\Theta(n \cdot \log n)$. Usually, we only say f(n) is $O(n^2)$

Upper & lower bound? (3)

- For some algorithm f(n), assume we can prove the greens:
- O(1) O(log n) O(n) O(n·log n) O(n²) O(n³) O(2ⁿ)

 $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda$

(minimum upper bound)

• $\Omega(1) \Omega(\log n) \Omega(n) \Omega(n \cdot \log n) \Omega(n^2) \Omega(n^3) \Omega(2^n)$

 $\land\land\land\land\land\land\land\land$

(maximum lower bound)

• Upper bound is smaller than lower bound: such case NEVER happen!!!

Rules: help you calculate complex one

For **Big-Oh** and **Big-Omega**, all of them are valid:

- 1. Polynomial Rule: Only the biggest matter
- 2. Product Rule: the big multiplies the big
- 3. Sum Rule: the bigger of the two big
- 4. (Log Rule): Log only beats constant
- 5. (Exponential Rule): Exponential beats power functions

```
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // Some O(1) operation
}</pre>
```

 $O(n^2)$

```
for (int i = 0; i < n; i+=(n/2)) {
    // Some O(1) operation
}</pre>
```

```
while (n > 0) {
    if (n % 2 == 1)
        res = res * a;
    a = a * a;
    n = n / 2;
}
```



algorithm-exponentiation-by-squaring-cpp-python-implementation

check and prove $g(n) = (0.1n^2 + n \log n) \cdot (n \log n + \sqrt{n}) = \Theta(n^3 \cdot \log n)$.

check and prove $g(n) = (0.1n^2 + n \log n) \cdot (n \log n + \sqrt{n}) = \Theta(n^3 \cdot \log n)$.

Idea:

- 1. "Expand" the g(n) to 4 terms
- 2. Prove $g(n) = O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$: Apply rule 3: Sum rule, only choose biggest among all.
- 3. Prove $g(n) = \Omega(n^3 \cdot \log n)$: Apply rule 3: Sum rule, only choose biggest among all.
- 4. Done!

Two key points:

- 1. Apply the rule to simplify the problem;
- 2. When proving $\Theta(\cdot)$, we need to prove $O(\cdot)$ and $\Omega(\cdot)$ together.

2. Complexity for recursion and divide-andconquer - Concepts

• To calculate the complexity for recursion and divide-andconquer algorithm:

- Step 1: Get the recursive expression (looks like: g(n) = g(n-1) + O(n), g(n) = g(n/2) + O(n))
- Step 2:
 - Method 1: Unfold g(n) to g(1) by hand and get the answer.
 - Method 2: Master theorem--

- Recurrence: $T(n) \le a \cdot T(n/b) + O(n^d)$
- An algorithm that divides a problem of size n into a subproblems, each of size n / b

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} O(n^{d} \log n) & \text{if } a = b^{d} \\ O(n^{d}) & \text{if } a < b^{d} \\ O(n^{\log_{b}(a)}) & \text{if } a > b^{d} \end{cases}$$

a: number of subproblems (branching factor)
b: factor by which input size shrinks (shrinking factor)
d: need to do O(n^d) work to create subproblems + "merge" their solutions

From Prof. Fang's slides – lec 6 – page 19.

4-1 Recurrence examples

Give asymptotic upper and lower bounds for T(n) in each of the following recurrences. Assume that T(n) is constant for $n \le 2$. Make your bounds as tight as possible, and justify your answers.

- a. $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n^4$.
- **b.** T(n) = T(7n/10) + n.
- c. $T(n) = 16T(n/4) + n^2$.
- *d.* $T(n) = 7T(n/3) + n^2$.
- e. $T(n) = 7T(n/2) + n^2$.
- f. $T(n) = 2T(n/4) + \sqrt{n}$.
- g. $T(n) = T(n-2) + n^2$.

Problem 4-1 (page 107, 3rd edition)

- Recurrence: $T(n) \le a \cdot T(n/b) + O(n^d)$
- An algorithm that divides a problem of size n into a subproblems, each of size n / b

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} O(n^{d} \log n) & \text{if } a = b^{d} \\ O(n^{d}) & \text{if } a < b^{d} \\ O(n^{\log_{b}(a)}) & \text{if } a > b^{d} \end{cases}$$

a: number of subproblems (branching factor)
b: factor by which input size shrinks (shrinking factor)
d: need to do O(n^d) work to create subproblems + "merge" their solutions

Let you be familiar with it!

Question a-f: Use Master's Theorem.

a. By master theorem, $T(n) = \Theta(n^4)$.

b. By master theorem, $T(n) = \Theta(n)$.

c. By master theorem, $T(n) = \Theta(n^2 \lg n)$.

d. By master theorem, $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$.

e. By master theorem, $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\lg 7})$.

f. By master theorem, $T(n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \lg n})$.

Question g: Expand the recursion

- $T(n) = T(n-2) + n^2$
- = $T(n-4) + (n-2)^2 + n^2$
- = $T(1) + 3^2 + + (n-4)^2 + (n-2)^2 + n^2$ (If n is odd)
- = $T(2) + 4^2 + + (n-4)^2 + (n-2)^2 + n^2$ (If n is even)
- By the sum of the squares formula, We know that T(n) is $\Theta(n^3)$.
- Some small tricks in our case:
 - Even n: use formula with n=2k in it.
 - Odd n: use sum difference (total sum-even sum)

 $\frac{1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}+...+n^{2}}{\frac{1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}+...+n^{2}}{\frac{1^{2}+2^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}+...+n^{2}}{6}}$

Question g: Expand the recursion (details)

- Tricks to calculate:
- - Sum of even squares
- - Sum of odd squares



Look back: An example that upper/lower bounds does not meet (Will not be in exam)

Upper & lower bound? (2)

• For some algorithm f(n), assume we can prove the greens:

• O(1) O(log n) O(n) O(n·log n) O(n²) O(n³) O(2ⁿ)

~~~~

(minimum upper bound)

•  $\Omega(1) \ \Omega(\log n) \ \Omega(n) \ \Omega(n \cdot \log n) \ \Omega(n^2) \ \Omega(n^3) \ \Omega(2^n)$ 

^^^^^

(maximum lower bound)

- The minimum upper bound and maximum lower bound don't meet
- => we cannot conclude f(n) is Θ(n·log n). Usually, we only say f(n) is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

- For expression like: T(n) = T(7n/10) + log(n)
- Cannot use Master Theorem.
- --But we can do scaling (放缩) --So we can find a good upper bound, O(n);
- --And a good lower bound,  $\Omega(\log n)!$

(Will not be tested. Just for fun!)



#### Coding Question: Insertion Sort

- We provide three languages (C++/Python/Java) of code, with corresponding reference answer on Blackboard.
- The sorting function should \*\*return void\*\*, i.e., You need to modify in-place.
- The problem is not difficult, but you need to think about "edge cases".

### How It Works:

**1.Start with the second element** (index 1) in the array, treating the first element as already sorted.

- **2.Compare** the current element with the previous elements.
- **3.Insert** the current element into the correct position by shifting the larger elements one position to the right.
- 4.Repeat the process for each of the elements in the array.



**Insertion sort** From Prof. Fang's slides – lec 4 – page 4.

- A simple algorithm for <u>a small number of elements</u>
- Similar to sort a hand of cards
  - Start with an empty left hand
  - Pick up one card and insert it into the correct position
  - To find the correct position, compare it with each of the cards in the hand, from right to left
  - The cards in the left hand are sorted



# 3. Q & A